



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2856 OF 2025

Vijay S/o Madhavrao Chinchpure,
Age-30 years, Occu:Business,
R/o-At Wakdi, Post-Katora Bazar,
Taluka-Bhokardan, District-Jalna

...APPLICANT

VERSUS

- 1) The State of Maharashtra,
Through Police Inspector,
Bhokardan Police Station,
District-Jalna,
- 2) Shubham Raju Pagare,
Age-30 years, Occu:Labour,
R/o-Ramai Nagar, New Bhokardan,
Taluka-Bhokardan, District-Jalna.

...RESPONDENTS

...
Mr. Chetan B. Chaudhari Advocate for Applicant.
Mr. R.S. Wani, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.
Mr. Vishnu S. Kande Advocate for Respondent No.2.
...

**CORAM: SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 19th DECEMBER, 2025

ORDER [PER SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.] :

1. Present Application has been filed for quashing the First Information Report (for short "the FIR") vide Crime No. 0240 of

2025 registered with Bhokardan Police Station, District-Jalna for the offence punishable under Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, and Sections 115(2), 281, 351(2), 351(3) and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and the further proceedings, if any, arising out of the same.

2. Heard learned Advocate Mr. Chaudhari for the applicant, learned APP Mr. Wani for respondent No.1 and learned Advocate Mr. Kande for respondent No.2.

3. Learned Advocate appearing for the applicant and learned Advocate for respondent No.2 submits that during the pendency of the Application, the parties have amicably settled their dispute.

4. The parties have compromised the matter. The compromise pursis, which is containing the terms, has been produced on record. Terms of compromise have been got verified through the Registrar (Judicial) and learned Registrar (Judicial) has submitted his report on 8th September 2025. In the said terms of compromise, it has been stated that the above

mentioned FIR was lodged due to misunderstanding. However, the dispute between the parties has now been amicably settled with the intervention of some elderly persons from the village. The parties have arrived at a mutual compromise, considering the future relations. Both the parties now, desire to put an end to all criminal litigations and wish to live in peace and harmony.

5. We had asked the learned APP to take instructions as to whether the informant has received any amount under the schemes for the persons from scheduled caste and scheduled tribe category when offence is alleged to have been committed against them. The learned APP informs that the informant has not received any amount. In view of the same, when the parties want to keep good relations, though the offences under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act are anti-social, we permit the offence to be compounded and therefore, there is no hurdle in allowing the Application. However, since the entire machinery has been utilized, we impose costs on the applicant as well as on respondent No.2. Hence, the following order:-

ORDER

(I) The Application stands allowed.

(II) The First Information Report vide Crime No. 0240 of 2025 registered with Bhokardan Police Station, District-Jalna for the offence punishable under Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, and Sections 115(2), 281, 351(2), 351(3) and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and the further proceedings, if any, arising out of the same stands quashed and set aside as against applicant - Vijay S/o Madhavrao Chinchpure.

(III) Applicant and respondent No.2 to deposit cost of Rs.10,000/-, each, with the High Court Legal Services Sub Committee, Aurangabad on or before 6th January, 2026.

(IV) Place the matter for compliance on 12th January 2026.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

asb/DEC25

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE