



{1}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

24 WRIT PETITION NO. 11248 OF 2025

Bhaskar Pundalik Patil

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra and Others

.....

Mr. Madhav M. Bhokarikar, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. A.M.Phule, AGP for Respondents No.1 to 3

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 17 NOVEMBER, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. The present petition is filed for following relief:

“b. The appropriate and necessary writ or direction may kindly be issued against respondents to take necessary steps for acquisition, including measurement of suit land, by following due procedure of Law of Acquisition and using writ land, by respondent no.3, Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation, if required for public purpose.”

2. Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner.

3 The petitioner has not even filed any representation and has directly approached this Court contending that a strip/piece of his land is being used by respondent No. 3. It is also stated that the respondents are trying to made construction on the road. The list of documents produced along with the petition contains only the 7/12 extract and

{2}

nothing more. In such circumstances, this is not a fit case for exercising our writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India

4. Writ Petition stands dismissed.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

S P Rane