



**IN THE JUDICATURE OF HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**943 CONT. PETITION NO. 5 OF 2014
IN WP/9818/2012**

Kai. Talsa Aai Bahuudeshiya Mahila Mandal, Chopda

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. A. N. Irpatgire h.f Mr. Rudrawar S.G.
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. S. K. Shirse

...

AND

**944 CONT. PETITION NO. 6 OF 2014
IN WP/9233/2010**

Yogeshwar Babuuddeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Another

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. A. N. Irpatgire h.f Mr. Rudrawar S.G.
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. D.R . Korde

...

AND

**945 CONT. PETITION NO. 9 OF 2014
IN WP/9238/2010**

Yogeshwar Babuuddeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. A. N. Irpatgire h.f Mr. Rudrawar S.G.
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. S. G. Joshi

...

AND

**946 CONT. PETITION NO. 10 OF 2014
IN WP/8539/2012**

Konark Sevabhavi Sanstha, Hingoli

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. A. N. Irpatgire h.f Mr. Rudrawar S.G.
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. S. K. Shirse

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

Dated : November 28, 2025.

PER COURT :-

1. The learned Counsel, Mr. A. N. Irpatgire, holding for Mr. S. G. Rudrawar for the petitioner, submits that, due to the considerable passage of time, the inquiry contemplated under the Act would be difficult. He contends that, in such circumstances, nothing would survive in the petition.
2. In view of the above submission, the contempt petitions stand dismissed.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

vj gawade/-.