



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 12168 OF 2025

Ranwir Shankarsing Tatu Thr Uder Guardian Of Father Shankar S. Tatu

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

Mr. K. S. Solanke, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. R. S. Wani, AGP for respondent-State

**CORAM : Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi &
Hiten S. Venegavkar, JJ.**

DATE : 05th December, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Present petition has been filed for correction of name of petitioner and his father in the school record. The petitioner submits that his correct name is "Ranveer Shankar Tatu" however, in the school record it has been wrongly mentioned as "Ranwir Shankarsing Tatu". The petitioner has filed Birth Certificate wherein correct name of petitioner and his father is mentioned as "Ranveer Shankar Tatu". Respondent No. 2 by impugned order dated 10.07.2025 rejected the application on the ground that the the petitioner is not taking education in the school. The petitioner is relying on the Full Bench decision of this Court in **Janabai Himmatrao Thakur vs. State of Maharashtra and others**, [AIR OnLine 2019 Bombay 1055].

2. We are coming across many such orders, in spite of the

decision of this Court in **Janabai Thakur** (supra), stating that the applicant/petitioner is not taking education in the school and, therefore, the authority has no jurisdiction or power to make changes in the school record. While allowing the present petition, we direct respondent No.1 to apprise the concerned authorities about the Full Bench decision of this Court in **Janabai Thakur** (supra) and not to reject the applications on the ground that the person is not taking education in the school. The interpretation in respect of Rule 26.4 of Secondary School Code has been interpreted by this Court and that interpretation is binding on all the authorities. Even after apprising the authorities by respondent No. 1, if we come across such orders, then this Court will consider such orders as contempt.

3. With these observations, the writ petition stands allowed.

4. Respondent No. 2 is directed to issue order and grant the proposal forwarded by respondent No. 3 in respect of change in the name of petitioner and his father in the school record within a period of 15 days from today.

(Hiten S. Venegavkar, J.)

(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi, J.)

B. S. Joshi