



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

938 CONT. PETITION NO. 621 OF 2025

Hasham Rajjak Shaha
VERSUS
The State Maharashtra And Others

- ...
- Adv. Estling S. Murge, Advocate for the Petitioner
 - Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for State
 - Adv. V. C. Patil h/f. Mr. U. B. Bondar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3
- ...

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI &
VAISHALI PATIL – JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : DECEMBER 03, 2025

PER COURT:

1. Respondents were directed to consider the representation of the petitioner by order dated 18.11.2025.
2. The respondents have decided the representation and granted additional increment to the petitioner.
3. Order is, therefore, complied with. Hence, Contempt Petition is disposed of.

[VAISHALI PATIL – JADHAV, J.]

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]