



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8627 OF 2025
IN FA/1776/2025**

Shubhangi Pramod More And Ors

VERSUS

Gokul Ganpat Vaze And Anr

...

Ms. Kirti Deshpande h/f Mr. M. M. Bhokarikar, Advocate for
Applicants

Mr. A. S. Usmanpurkar, Advocate for Respondent no.2

.....

CORAM : AJIT B. KADETHANKAR.

DATED : 16TH SEPTEMBER, 2025

ORDER :-

. Heard Ms. Kirti Deshpande h/f Mr. M. M. Bhokarikar,
learned Advocate for the appellants/applicants.

2. It is submitted that the respondent no.1 is avoiding
service of notice on him in the present matter. She would
further submits that even in the Civil Application for
condonation of delay also, the respondent no.1 could not be
served by regular mode. Hence, recourse was taken to order V
Rule 20 of the Civil Procedure Code to serve him through
paper publication. Ms. Kirti Deshpande further submits that in
view of the facts that the respondent no.1 is unserved/could
not be served by regular mode of service, the applicants be

permitted to serve the respondent no.1 through paper publication.

3. Mr. A. S. Usmanpurkar, learned Advocate for respondent no.2 expresses no objection for effecting service on respondent no.1 through paper publication.

4. In view of this, Civil Application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B'.

5. Notice to respondent no.1, for admission and final disposal of the appeal be published in 'Daily Tarun Bharat', New Mumbai, Thane Edition, at the cost of the applicants.

6. Civil Application stands disposed of.

(AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.)