



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

6 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1012 OF 2025

HUSSAIN DADABHAI SHAIKH
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. M. K. Bhosale, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. S. A. Gaikwad, APP for Respondents/State.
...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 18 SEPTEMBER 2025

ORDER :

. Present petition has been filed for following reliefs :-

“A) By issuing appropriate writ, order, direction or any other appropriate order in the nature of writ, Hon’ble High Court may be pleased to direct respondent No. 1 to 6 to conduct a detailed and time-bound enquiry into the procurement irregularities, illegalities mentioned in the Petitioner’s representation dated 29.03.2025.

B) By issuing appropriate writ, order, direction or any other appropriate order of writ, Hon’ble High Court may be pleased to direct respondent to take disciplinary and criminal action against officers and private contractors found involved in manipulation, forgery, or financial misappropriation.

C] By issuing appropriate writ, order, direction or any other appropriate order of writ, Hon’ble High Court may be pleased to direct respondent police to conduct inquiry and

register FIR as per the representations dated 29.03.2025 against all accused.

D] By issuing appropriate writ, order, direction or any other appropriate order of writ, Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to direct to decide representations dated 29.03.2025."

2. Upon hearing the learned Advocate for the petitioner for a while, when we asked the learned Advocate for the petitioner to take instructions regarding deposit of amount of Rs.5,00,000/- to show *bona fides* of the petitioner, he took time and, thereafter, after getting instructions, he seeks withdrawal of the petition.

3. Hence, the writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm