



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

46 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14592 OF 2010
IN FAST/24645/2010
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 914 OF 2011
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3579 OF 2008
IN FA/914/2011
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3581 OF 2008
IN FA/926/2011
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 2153 OF 2008
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 2155 OF 2008
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14595 OF 2010
IN FAST/24802/2010
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 2154 OF 2008
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 926 OF 2011
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14593 OF 2010
IN FAST/24645/2010
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14596 OF 2010
IN FAST/24799/2010
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14597 OF 2010
IN FAST/24799/2010
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14594 OF 2010
IN FAST/24802/2010

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
BHANUDAS TATYA SADEKAR (DIED)

Mr. S. G. Sangle, Addl. GP for the Applicants

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 13th OCTOBER, 2025

P.C. :-

ORDER BELOW CIVIL APPLICATION NOS.14592/2010, 14596/2010
AND 14594/2010

1. In spite of service of notice, none present for the Respondent.

2. Heard learned AGP. It is his submission that the connected Appeals filed by the Acquiring Body has already filed Appeal in respect of the impugned judgment and awards. It is his submission that no prejudice will cause to the other side if the delay is condoned. In spite of service of notice, respondent has not filed any reply. This indicates that Respondent is not interested to oppose the applications. Hence, Applications stand allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B'.

3. Appeals be registered.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

ssp