



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.9915 OF 2025

JYOTIKA DIGAMBAR ARTWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
THE SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Tukaram Maruti Venjane
AGP for Respondents: Mr. R.K. Ingole
...

CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE : 09.09.2025

PER COURT:

1. The petitioner, stated to have been appointed on 25.06.2019 as a Shikshan Sevak, is challenging the order of the Education Officer (Secondary) dated 17.07.2025, whereby, approval has been refused to the appointment on the ground that her appointment was not through the Pavitra Portal in accordance with the concerned Government Resolution dated 07.02.2019.
2. In several matters this Court has consistently observed and held that though the Pavitra Portal was evolved, it was not put to effective use till the recent/latest Government Resolution dated 31.01.2023. Since the petitioner was appointed prior thereto, the impugned order refusing to grant approval only on the ground that the appointment was *de hors* the Pavitra Portal mechanism is not legally sustainable.

3. The writ petition is allowed. The impugned order is quashed and set aside. The matter is remitted back to the respondent No.4 – Education Officer (Secondary) for taking a fresh decision on the same proposal. The decision shall be taken, as expeditiously as possible and in any case within six weeks, however, the proposal shall not be rejected on the ground which we have disapproved herein above.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)