



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8456 OF 2025
IN FAST/13755/2024**

The New India Assurance Co Ltd Through Its Local Office At
Jalna

VERSUS

Mr Gajanan Pralhad Kalkumbe And Ors

...

Mr. A. S. Usmanpurkar, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. V. B. Kulkarni, Advocate for Respondent no.1

.....

CORAM : AJIT B. KADETHANKAR.

DATED : 16TH SEPTEMBER, 2025

ORDER :-

. Heard Mr. A. S. Usmanpurkar, learned Advocate for the applicants.

2. It is submitted that the notice of respondent no.2 returned unserved for want of detailed address. He further submits that the applicant has tried to make inquiry to ascertain the complete present address of the respondent no.2, however, the applicant could not get the same. In view of the above Ms. A. S. Usmanpurkar prays that the applicants be permitted to serve the respondent no.2 through paper publication.

3. Mr. V. B. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for respondent

no.1 expresses no objection for effecting service on respondent no.2 through paper publication.

4. In view of this, Civil Application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B'.

5. Notice to respondent no.2, for admission and final disposal of the appeal be published in 'Daily Punyanagari', at the cost of the applicants.

6. Civil Application stands disposed of.

(AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.)