

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONT. PETITION NO. 698 OF 2024
IN WP/11133/2023**

**AMRAPALI NAGORAO WAGHMARE
VERSUS
DR. GANPAT MORE**

...

Mr. Tukaram Maruti Venjane, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S. J. Salgare, AGP for Respondent-State
Mr. Jadhav Vinod B., Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4

....

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.**

DATED : 25/06/2025.

P. C. :

Learned advocate for the petitioner fairly submits that the order of this court is complied with. He, however, makes a grievance that benefit of leave encashment is denied by the Education Officer and he intends to challenge the same. Hence, the order is complied with, contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)