



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

921 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1382 OF 2025

Anil Bhanudas Koli
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Swapnil S. Telang
APP for Respondents: Mr. R. S. Wani

.....

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.
DATED : 4th OCTOBER, 2025.

PER COURT :-

1. This is an application for granting regular bail under section 483 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 in connection with crime No. 71 of 2024 registered with Dharangaon police station, District Jalgaon, for the offences punishable under Sections 394, 395, 120-B, 34 of the I.P.C. and under Sections 4/25 of the Arms Act.

2. The informant averred in the report that on 17.02.2024, he was proceeding with an amount of Rs.1,60,00,000/- kept in bags in the car. When he reached near village Bhod, a Scorpio vehicle collided with their car from the front. Two persons came out of that car and broken the glass of window with a iron rod. They beat the informant and the driver of the car by throwing chili powder into their eyes. Thereafter, they dragged them out of the car and assaulted them.

They took the bags kept in the car containing the amount, which they were carrying and ran away. Therefore, report was lodged.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant submitted that the co-accused is released on bail by the trial court, however the application of the applicant is rejected. The applicant has roots in the society. He will not flee away from the trial. The trial will take long period. The applicant has been falsely implicated in the crime. He has no criminal antecedents. Learned advocate for the applicant lastly prayed that the application be allowed.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the respondent-State strongly opposed the application and submitted that the role of the applicant is very serious. He is the prime accused, as he assaulted the informant and the driver of the car with an iron rod. He also threw chili powder into the eyes of the informant and the others who were sitting in the car. The applicant has been identified in the test identification parade. There is strong evidence against him, and an amount of Rs.45,00,000/- has been recovered at his instance. Considering his role, it is lastly prayed that the application be rejected, as the principle of parity cannot be made applicable to the case of the applicant.

5. Perused the charge sheet, particularly the report and all the documents, as well as the order passed by this Court in Bail Application No. 2049 of 2024 dated 27.03.2025, wherein it is observed that the applicant is booked for a serious crime and an amount of Rs.48,00,000/- has been recovered from him. The charge has been framed. Perused the orders passed by the trial court granting bail to the co-accused and rejecting the application of the present applicant. From the record of the case, particularly the recovery panchnama of Rs.48,00,000/-, it is established that the applicant is involved in a serious crime. The applicant has five criminal antecedents. Considering all these reasons, the applicant is certainly not entitled for bail. The application therefore, deserves to be rejected. Hence, the following order.

O R D E R

Application is rejected.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

rlj/