



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9324 OF 2025

Shaikh Mufeedd Sk Mushhtaque

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra

...

- Mr. Mujtaba Gulam Mustafa, Advocate h/f. Mr. Quadri Tabrezuddin, Advocate for the Petitioner
- Mr. V. M. Kagne, AGP for Respondent/State
- Mr. V. C. Patil, Advocate h/f. Mr. U. B. Bondar, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

...

CORAM : MANISH PITALE AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE : 29.07.2025

PER COURT :

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a limited relief in respect of the application moved before respondent No. 3 - the Headmaster of his school, for correction of the record as regards his place of birth.

2. We find that respondent No. 1 – the State is represented by the learned AGP and respondent No. 2 – the Education Officer (Primary) is represented by Mr. Patil, learned counsel. Since we intend to issue a simple direction to respondent No. 3 – the Headmaster of the school, to forward the application / proposal of the petitioner to respondent No. 2 – the Education Officer in terms of Rule 26.4 read with appendix 6 of the Secondary Schools Code, 2015, issuance of notice to respondent No. 3 – the Headmaster is dispensed with.

3. The documents show that on 01.05.2025, the petitioner had filed such application before respondent No. 3 – the Headmaster but no further steps have been taken in the nature. Respondent No. 3 – the Headmaster is required to forward the application to respondent No. 2 – the Education Officer, to be decided in accordance with law.

4. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of by directing respondent No. 3 – the Headmaster, Z.P. Urdu Primary School, Ghatnandra, Tq. Sillod, Dist. Chh. Sambhajinagar, to forward the aforesaid application of the petitioner to respondent No. 2 – the Education Officer, Zilla Parishad, Chh. Sambhajinagar, within two weeks of this order being served upon respondent No. 3 – the Headmaster.

5. Thereafter, respondent No. 2 – the Education Officer shall decide the said application strictly in accordance with law, within six weeks of receipt of the application/proposal from respondent No. 3 – the Headmaster. This Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter.

6. In view of the above, the writ petition is **disposed of**.

7. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)