

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

116 WRIT PETITION NO. 9380 OF 2025

TEJAS HARIDAS MISAL

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Shinde Digambar B.

AGP for Respondents/State : Ms. R.P.Gaur

...

CORAM : R. G. AVACHAT AND
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.

DATE : 08.09.2025.

PER COURT :

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the parties.
2. The only payer made in this petition is that the respondent No. 2, Caste Scrutiny Committee may be directed to decide the claim of the petitioner within the stipulated period.
3. Learned AGP informs that the vigilance report has already received.
4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already received the copy of the vigilance report and will respond to the same.
5. If the petitioner files his reply to the vigilance report, respondent No. 2 Caste Scrutiny Committee, after hearing the

petitioner shall decide the said proceedings within a period of one month from filing of the reply by the petitioner to the vigilance report.

6. We also clarify that the petitioner shall not seek any unnecessary adjournments and shall co-operate with the Caste Scrutiny Committee in deciding the proceedings expeditiously.

(ABASAHEB D. SHINDE)
JUDGE

(R. G. AVACHAT)
JUDGE

mahajansb/