



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

942 WRIT PETITION NO. 9360 OF 2023

Subhash Tulshiram Pawar
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

AND
943 WRIT PETITION NO. 9361 OF 2023

Satish Santosh Shelkar
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

....
AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 9358 OF 2023

Kiran W/o. Ashokrao Pawar
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

.....
AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 9353 OF 2023

Rajendra S/o. Pundlik Sandanshiv
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 9355 OF 2023

Narayan S/o. Damu Beldar
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 9356 OF 2023

Bhagwat S/o. Pundlik Bagul
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 9363 OF 2023

Kishor S/o. Pralhad Patil
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

...
AND
WRIT PETITION No. 9385 OF 2023

Aasaram s/o. Jayram Sonawane
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

...
AND
WRIT PETITION No. 9420 OF 2023

Vijay S/o. Raghunath Zanzane
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

...
AND
WRIT PETITION No. 9676 OF 2023

Sanjay S/o. Daulat Surywanshi
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 9387 OF 2023

Vijay Daulat Pawar
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others

...
Mr. Patil Prakashsing B. Advocate for Petitioners
Mr. A.B. Girase, Government Pleader for Respondent nos. 1 to 3
...

CORAM : ALOK ARADHE, C.J. &
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.
DATE : 21st MARCH, 2025.

P.C. :

1. The writ petition Nos.9355 of 2023, 9356 of 2023, 9353

of 2023, 9363 of 2023, 9385 of 2023, 9387 of 2023, 9420 of 2023, 9358 of 2023, 9676 of 2023, are not on board. Upon mentioning, taken on board.

2. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. With consent of the parties, heard finally.

3. In these writ petitions, the petitioners who are appointed with respondent No.4, in the respective petitions, inter-alia, seek direction to the respondents to accord them the benefit of second Assured Career Progress Scheme (ACPS) after completion of 24 years of their services.

4. The learned Government Pleader, on instructions, submits that the State Government thereafter has made applicable the benefits of the promotional scale as per the second ACPS after completion of 24 years of service, vide Government Resolution dated 14.03.2024. It is further submitted that in view of the aforesaid Government Resolution, the petitioners are entitled for the benefit of second ACPS after completion of 24 years of service.

5. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned Government Pleader, the writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the Education Officer to consider the individual

claim of the petitioners for grant of benefit of second ACPS after completion of 24 years of service, in the light of the contents in the Government Resolution dated 14.03.2024.

6. Needless to state that the Education Officer shall take decision by a speaking order, within a period of two months from today.

7. Rule is made absolute in the above terms.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)

rlj/