



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

62 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6960 OF 2025  
IN FAST/10566/2025

DINESH SANJAY CHAUDHARY  
VERSUS  
THE DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER  
MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD  
TRANSPORT CORPORATION AND ANR

...

WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6961 OF 2025  
IN FAST/10912/2025

WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6963 OF 2025  
IN FAST/10570/2025

WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6967 OF 2025  
IN FAST/10756/2025

....

Advocate for the Applicant in all Applications : Mr. Mukul Shriram Kulkarni  
Advocate for Respondent No.1 in all Applications:Mr.D.S. Bagul

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.  
DATE : 25.08.2025

PER COURT :

. Heard both sides.

2. Present applications are for withdrawal of amount deposited  
by the Respondent/corporation in this Court. Following the break up

of the matters :

| Sr.No. | FAST No.   | C.A.No.<br>(For<br>Withdrawal<br>of Amount) | MACP No. | Name of<br>Deceased            | Compensati<br>on Awarded<br>(in Rs.) | Amount<br>Deposited<br>(in Rs.) |
|--------|------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 62     | 10566/2025 | 6960/2025                                   | 468/2020 | Sanjay<br>Narayan<br>Chaudhary | 25,82,309/-                          | 33,77,920/-                     |
| 63     | 10912/2025 | 6961/2025                                   | 506/2020 | Sarla<br>Ravindra<br>Chaudhary | 29,46,280/-                          | 37,83,137/-                     |
| 65     | 10570/2025 | 6963/2025                                   | 470/2020 | Minal<br>Sanjay<br>Chaudhary   | 33,47,280/-                          | 51,40,344/-                     |
| 66     | 10756/2025 | 6967/2025                                   | 469/2020 | Neeta<br>Sanjay<br>Chaudhary   | 39,75,615/-                          | 43,16,123/-                     |

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that accident in question resulted in death of four members of the family. The tribunal has rightly awarded the compensation and the applicants are entitled to receive the same.

4. Applications are contested by learned counsel for the corporation. It is contended that quantum awarded is vulnerable in each case. There is every hope of success in first appeal.

5. I have considered rival submissions of the parties. I have gone through impugned judgment also. In all four members of the family succumbed in the accident. Prima-facie, the evidence has been led before the tribunal and thereafter entitlement is decided. At the same time, the quantum is challenged by the corporation. I am of the view

that ends of the justice would be met in permitting the applicants to receive 75% of the amount with accrued interest on furnishing undertaking.

6. Civil applications are allowed partly permitting applicants to receive 75% amount with accrued interest on furnishing undertaking to the satisfaction of the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court.

7. Balance amount shall be invested in nationalized bank.

[ SHAILESH P BRAHME, J.]

vsj..