



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**907 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 433 OF 2015
IN WP/2861/2012**

MAHARASHTRA MAHILA PRASHIKSHAN BAL SADAN, BALAK
ASHRAM, BALGRUHA SWAYANSEVI SANSTHA CHALAK
SANGH
VERSUS

SWADHIN KASHTRIYA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Patil N. P. Jamalpurkar
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr.N.D. Batule

...
**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, JJ.**

DATED : 25th NOVEMBER, 2025.

ORDER :

. Pursuant to the directions issued by this Court, the Government has taken policy decision and Government Resolution dated 18.03.2014 is issued. By filing affidavit-in-reply, the said decision is placed on record.

2. Learned Advocate for the petitioner argues on merits of the decision stating that said decision is not in consonance with the directions issued by this Court. We cannot go into the merits of the decision taken by the respondents.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner further states that said decision is already challenged by filing Writ Petition.

4. In view of compliance of the order, contempt petition is disposed of.

(VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV,J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI,J.)