



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

11 WRIT PETITION NO. 7908 OF 2014

**RANJIT SANJAY HINGSE
VERSUS
SANJAY SUKHDEV KALE AND OTHERS**

....

Mr S. N. Gaikwad, Advocate h/f Mr Niteen V. Gaware, Advocate for
petitioner

Mr S. P. Mhaske, Advocate h/f Mr R. R. Karpe, Advocate for
respondent No.1

CORAM : PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.

DATE : 30th June, 2025

PER COURT:

1. Advocate Gaikwad holding for Advocate Gaware, learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the instant petition raising challenge to the order passed in Regular Civil Suit No.93/2013 has become infructuous, since the civil suit itself has been dismissed for want of prosecution on 21/09/2019.

2. Advocate Mhaske, holding for Advocate Karpe, learned counsel for respondent No.1 states on instructions that, no application for restoration of the civil suit is filed.

3. It is to be noted that, on last date, time was sought to ascertain the position of filing of any kind of restoration application.

(2)

4. In view of the fact that civil suit itself is dismissed for want of prosecution and no restoration application is filed, the instant petition has become infructuous.

5. The writ petition is therefore disposed of as infructuous.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.)

sjk