



{1}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

956 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2318 OF 2025

Sachin Sanjiv Kate

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Another

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3055 OF 2025

Dinesh Vasant Patil

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Another

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3034 OF 2025

Shasikant Raghunath Patil

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Another

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2320 OF 2025

Kamlakar Vinesh Patil

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Another

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2319 OF 2025

Jaywantrao Amrut Patil

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Another

.....

Mr. Sanjay R. Kolhare, Advocate for Applicants

Mr. R.S. Wani, APP for Respondent No.1-State

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 03 DECEMBER, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that although the applicants are now challenging the order of cognizance passed by the learned Magistrate, the case has already been committed to the Court of Sessions. The charge has not yet been framed. This Court, in Criminal Application No. 2722 of 2024 along with the companion matter decided on 07.03.2025, had quashed the proceedings against the co-accused, and the applicants are similarly situated. The applicants would appear before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amalner, District Jalgaon, and would file applications for discharge under Section 227 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Therefore, he seeks withdrawal of the present applications with liberty to file an application for discharge before the Additional Sessions Judge, Amalner.

2. In view of the said statement, we permit the applicants to withdraw their applications with liberty as prayed.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE