



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 526 OF 2023
IN WP/4149/2022**

**SUNIL S/O SAKHARAM PAWAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH SECRETARY
TECHNICAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT**

...

Mrs. Sunita Sonawane, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mrs. Uma Bhosale, A.G.P. for respondent – State.
Mr. Yogesh Bolkar, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

...

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.**

DATED : 9 JULY 2025

ORDER:-

1. Pursuant to the directions, respondent Nos.2 and 3 have decided representation of the petitioners belatedly.
2. Learned advocate for the petitioners makes a grievance that gratuity amount is denied to the petitioners. If the petitioners are aggrieved by the decision of the respondents, they have alternate remedy to challenge the same.
3. In view of compliance of the direction, contempt petition is disposed of.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

**(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI)
JUDGE**