



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

45 WRIT PETITION NO. 6344 OF 2022

Pandharinath Namdeorao Shitole Patil

VERSUS

The Deputy Director Of Land Records Aurangabad And Others

...

Mr. H. V. Patil, Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. N. D. Raje, AGP for Respondents

Mr. Abhijit G. Choudhari, Advocate for Respondent Nos.9 to 14.

Mr. Jayant R. Patil, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

...

CORAM : ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.

DATED : 4th AUGUST 2025

PER COURT :-

1. After arguing the petition for some time, when this Court had shown disinclination to entertain the petition on the point of delay and also having regard to the pleadings in Regular Civil Suit No.52 of 2017 and Regular Civil Suit No.53 of 2017, the learned Counsel for the petitioner on instructions seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the State Government as is referred in the impugned order dated 21.05.2019.

2. Petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed. However, it needs to be clarified that liberty does not mean that

proceedings to be initiated by the petitioner will be maintainable in accordance with law. The petitioner will have to establish that the proceedings initiated by him is maintainable in law. The aspect of delay should also be considered by the authority, in the event any such proceedings initiated by the petitioners.

[ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.]