

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

57 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2702 OF 2024

SUBHASH VASANT PAWAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. Sohail Subhedar, Advocate holding for Mr. Nilesh
Ghanekar, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. A.V. Lavte, A.P.P. for respondent No.1-State.
Mr. H.A. Shinde, Advocate holding for Mr. V.R. Dhorde,
Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND
MEHROZ K. PATHAN, JJ.**

DATED : 6 OCTOBER 2025

Per Court :

1. Though the learned counsel holding for Mr. Ghanekar seeks time to verify as to what happened to 'A' Summary filed by prosecution, but the learned A.P.P. confirms that such 'A' Summary has been filed and notice is also issued to the informant. Learned counsel for respondent No.2/informant submits that the informant has already filed protest petition and direction be given to the concerned court that it be decided on its own merit.

3. Thus, on filing of 'A' Summary the cause of action for filing this application does not survive. Accordingly the application stands disposed of being infructuous. Liberty is

granted to respondent No.2/informant to contest the protest petition filed in respect of 'A' Summary on its own merit. Concerned trial court also to hear the informant as well as accused and decide the same on its own merit.

(MEHROZ K. PATHAN)
JUDGE

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE