



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**917 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4913 OF 2021
IN FAST/18661/2019**

BHIM MASNAJI NARLE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.R. P. Adgaonkar
AGP for Respondent nos.1 & 2 : Mrs.D.S.Jape

....

AND

**918 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4914 OF 2021
IN FAST/19135/2019**

DIGAMBER VITHALRAO PATIL

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.R. P. Adgaonkar
AGP for Respondents: Mr.N.D.Batule

....

AND

**919 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5151 OF 2021
IN FAST/18612/2019**

MAROTI MALGONDA GANGAJI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. R.P. Adgaonkar
AGP for Respondents: Mr.S.S.Dande

....

AND

**921 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12041 OF 2021
IN FAST/19133/2019**

DHANAJI DEVRAO BIRADAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. R.P. Adgaonkar
AGP for Respondent nos.1 & 2 : Mr.S.M.Ganachari

....
AND
922 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12129 OF 2021
IN FAST/19154/2019

SANTOSH MURLIDHAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. R.P. Adgaonkar
AGP for Respondent nos.1 & 2 : Mrs.D.S.Jape

....
AND
926 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1693 OF 2022
IN FAST/20050/2019

YADAV NIVRUTTI BIRADAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr.R.P. Adgaonkar
AGP for Respondent nos.1 & 2 : Mr.S.M.Ganachari

....
AND
927 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2155 OF 2022
IN FAST/18693/2019

NAGMANI SHANKARAPPA MATIPATI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr.R.P. Adgaonkar
AGP for Respondent nos.1 & 2 : Mrs.D.S.Jape

....
AND
928 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2172 OF 2022
IN FAST/19407/2019

GOVIND LALU SURYAWANSHI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. R.P. Adgaonkar

AGP for Respondent nos.1 & 2 : Mr.N.D.Batule

....

CORAM : ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J.

DATE : 09 JULY, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. In spite of several opportunities, none appeared for respondent no.3 on any of the dates on which matters were kept. Therefore, matters were taken up for hearing *exparte*.

2. Heard.

3. These applications are filed by the claimants for condonation of delay caused in filing first appeal against the judgment and order passed by the Reference Court in the land acquisition references.

4. Learned AGP opposed the applications stating that delay is not properly explained. Hence, applications may be rejected.

5. For the reasons stated in the applications, delay is required to

be condoned. Accordingly, applications are allowed. Delay is condoned on the condition that the applicants shall not be entitled for the interest for the period of delay.

6. Accordingly, applications are disposed of.

7. Appeals be registered. On registration of appeals, issue notice to the respondents, returnable within four weeks thereafter. Learned AGP waives service of notice for respondents-State.

(ABHAY S. WAGHWASE)
JUDGE

SPT