



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6975 OF 2025
IN FAST/18235/2025**

BALAJI MOHAN SALUNKE AND ANR
VERSUS
DILIP WAKIL MULEKAR AND ANR

...
Advocate for Applicants : Ms. Tanisha P. Chavan, h/f Mr. P. S. Chavan.
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Mohit R. Deshmukh.
...

CORAM : **SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**

DATE : 04th November, 2025.

P.C.:

1 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2 This is an application for condonation of delay of 907 days caused in filing the first appeal.

3 The learned counsel for respondent No.2 strongly opposed the application and submitted that there is no sufficient reason to condone the delay.

4 On perusal of the application, it appears that the delay has not been deliberately caused. Therefore, in the interest of justice, the delay deserves to be condoned on certain conditions.

5 For the reasons stated in the application, the delay stands

condoned. The application stands allowed in the interest of justice.
The appeal be registered.

6 It is made clear that in case the amount of compensation is enhanced, the appellants shall not be entitled for the amount of interest for the delayed period.

Appeal:

7 Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 16th December, 2025. Mr. M. R. Deshmukh, learned counsel waives notice for respondent No.2.

8 The record and proceedings be called for.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

nga