



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 848 OF 2025

AMEY SUBHASHCHANDRA GODASE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Ms. Sunita G. Sonawane
APP for Respondent : Mr. D. J. Patil
...

CORAM : SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 25-09-2025

PER COURT:-

1. The challenge raised to order dated 15.04.2025 rendered by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Shrirampur, District Ahilyanagar, below application Exhibit-3 in Sessions Case No. 25 of 2021, rejecting the application seeking relaxation/modification of the bail condition in relation to the return of the deposited amount of Rs.5,00,000/-.
2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the respondent. Perused the record.
3. The solitary ground putforth by the petitioner is that the condition imposed while granting bail requiring deposit of Rs.5,00,000/- is disproportionate, which is already complied with.

4. The learned Additional Sessions Judge, while rejecting the application, has properly considered the role attributed to the petitioner in the alleged offence. The materials on record indicate that the petitioner was involved only in a single transaction of Rs. 5,00,000/-, therefore, liability appears to be confined to commensurate that transaction only. At the time of granting bail, the petitioner had voluntarily undertaken to comply with the said condition.

5. Considering the well-reasoned order rendered by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, no case is made out for warranting interference by this Court.

6. Resultantly, the criminal writ petition stands dismissed.

[SACHIN S. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

rrd