



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONT. PETITION NO. 809 OF 2024
IN CP/800/2023
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6147 OF 2025
IN CP/809/2024**

**KHATIJA BEGUM D/O ALI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

...

Mr. Badribishan A. Darak, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. A. S. Shind, AGP for Respondent-State

....

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.
DATED : 23/07/2025.**

P. C. :

1. It is informed by the petitioner that compromise is arrived at in respect of Survey No.109 Gut No.258 on 10/07/2025. The petitioner, therefore, submits that the compliance needs to be made only in respect of Gut No.82 new Gut Nos.174 & 175. According to the petitioner, the compromise was rejected by the Executing Court vide order dated 29/08/1997.

2. Petitioner to produce the relevant documents before the Tahsildar, who shall take appropriate steps in accordance with the law, thereafter.

3. With the above observations, contempt petition alongwith civil application, stand disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)