



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

912 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1875 OF 2019

ARUN S/O. MANGALAL MORE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

...

Mr. S. N. Dudhate, Advocate for Applicants (Absent).
Mrs. P. R. Bharaswadkar, APP for Respondent No.1/State.
Ms. S. G. Mapari, Advocate for Respondent No.2. (Appointed).

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 18 SEPTEMBER 2025

ORDER :

. Learned Advocate for the applicants is absent. It appears that after 27.01.2020, there was no attempt on the part of the applicants to get the matter circulated. Learned APP submits that the charge-sheet was filed on 25.01.2021. There is no amendment that has been carried out. As a last chance, even in the absence of learned Advocate for the applicants, we grant leave to the applicants to carry out amendment in view of ***Pradnya Pranjal Kulkarni vs. State of Maharashtra and another, [Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.13424 of 2025] decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 03.09.2025.***

2. Amendment to be carried out within a period of two weeks.

3. If the amendment is not carried out within the aforesaid period, the application would stand dismissed automatically without further reference to the Court and in that event i.e. upon the dismissal of the application in default, the fees of the learned Advocate, who is appointed for respondent No.2, would stand quantified at Rs.5,000/- to be paid by the High Court Legal Services Sub Committee, Aurangabad.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm