



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 8173 OF 2025

Ratnamala Digambar Mahadawad ... **Petitioner**
Age 31 years, Occu: Student
R/o Gandewar Colony, Near Bharat Gas
Agency, At Post Divshi (Bk), Bhokar
Dist. Nanded

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Principal Secretary
Tribal Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai 32
2. Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny ... **Respondents**
Committee, Kinwat Division,
Aurangabad (Chh. Sambhajinagar)
Through its Member Secretary)
3. The Sub Divisional Officer,
Bhokar, Dist. Nanded

Mr. Sainath G. Jayewar, Advocate for the petitioner,
Mr. S. D. Ghayal, AGP for the Respondents State

CORAM : **MANISH PITALE &**
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE : **19.08.2025**

ORDER (Per: Y. G. Khobragade, J.)

1. The challenge in the present Petition is to the order dated 07.05.2025, passed by Respondent No.2 Scrutiny Committee, invalidating "Mannervarlu" Scheduled Tribe Certificate of the Petitioner.

2. The petitioner is a student and requires validity for education purpose. The petitioner is intending to secure admission to professional course from the seat reserved for the Scheduled Tribe Category. Therefore, considering the urgency shown, the petition is taken up for disposal at the stage of admission.

3. Heard both sides at length.

4. As per the genealogical tree, Rajlingu Mahadawad, grandfather of the petitioner has two children namely, Dattatray and Laxmibai. Digambar(father of the petitioner), Rajkumar and Rajabai are the children of Dattatraya. Rushikesh (Validity holder), Yogita and Ratnamala (petitioner) are the children of Digambar. Rohini (validity holder), Rucha and Dinesh are the children of Rajkumar (validity holder), uncle of the petitioner.

5. On face of record, it appears that on 14.08.2007, the Respondent No.2 Scrutiny Committee granted validity certificate of belonging to Mannervarlu Schedule Tribe in favour of Rajkumar Dattatray Mahadawad, uncle of the petitioner. On 06.11.2007, the Respondent No.2 Scrutiny Committee granted validity certificate of belonging to Mannervarlu Schedule Tribe in favour of Rueshikesh Digambar Mahadawad, real brother of the petitioner. On 21.04.2010, the Respondent No.2 Scrutiny Committee granted validity certificate of

belonging to Mannervarlu Schedule Tribe in favour of Rohini Rajkumar Mahadawad, cousin sister of the petitioner.

6. It is submitted that paternal blood relatives who are having validity certificates are served with notice for revocation of their validity certificates. However, as on today, the validity certificate of Mannervarlu Scheduled Tribe issued in favour of the blood relatives of the petitioner are still in operation. Admittedly, the validity holders are blood relatives, including real brother the petitioner and the committee has not denied the same.

7. Since the paternal blood relatives of the Petitioner, including her real brother and real uncle are having “Mannervarlu” Scheduled Tribe validity certificates, considering the parity, the Petitioner is also entitled to have “Mannervarlu” Scheduled Tribe validity certificate. However, such validity shall be subject to the outcome of the decision in the proceeding in respect of blood relatives of the Petitioner, which the Respondent No.2 decided to re-open.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner voluntarily submitted that blood relatives of the petitioner to whom the notice for revocation of validity has been served shall execute an undertaking before the Respondent No.2 Committee that they would regularly appear in the

matter and shall cooperate with the Committee for early decision in the said matter.

9. Considering the law laid down in the cases of ***Mah. Adiwasi Thakur Jamat Swarakshan Samiti Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.***; ***AIR 2023 SC 1657, Shweta Balaji Isankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.***, ***2018 SCC Online Bom. 10341, Apoorva Vinay Nichale Vs. Divisional Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee No.1 and Ors.***, ***2010(6) Mh.L.J. 401***, wherein it has been concluded that when the biological father, biological siblings, biological uncle etc., are granted validity certificates, a candidate so related to them, cannot be deprived of a validity certificate, the present Petitioner is entitled to have the certificate of validity.

10. The Petitioner appears to be the aspiring student for the professional course. Therefore, she is called upon to furnish undertaking that, in case, her caste validity certificate is invalidated by the Scrutiny Committee, in that event she shall pay the tuition fees and admission fees applicable to the candidates from open category and no equity shall lie in her favour.

11. In view of above discussion, the present Petition deserves to be allowed partly and the impugned order dated 07.05.2025, passed by

Respondent No.2 Scrutiny Committee needs to be quashed and set aside. Accordingly, we proceed to pass the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) The Writ Petition is partly allowed.
- (ii) The impugned order dated 07.05.2025, passed by Respondent No.2 Scrutiny Committee is hereby quashed and set aside.
- (iii) Respondent No.2 Scrutiny Committee shall **immediately** issue “Mannervarlu” Scheduled Tribe validity certificate in favour of the Petitioner, which shall be subject to following conditions:-
 - (a) The caste validity certificates shall be subject to the outcome of the re-verification of the validity certificates of her blood relatives proposed by the Scrutiny Committee.
 - (b) The Petitioner shall furnish undertaking before the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court as well as before the Scrutiny Committee and the Educational Institution with whom she will take admission for professional course, indicating that in case her caste validity is revoked, she would deposit the tuition fees and other charges applicable to the candidates from open category.
 - (c) The Petitioner shall not claim any equity.
 - (d) The Petitioner shall cooperate with the Scrutiny Committee.

(Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)

JPChavan