



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

29 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 999 OF 2025

Nilesh Kacharulal Agrawal

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra

...

Mr. Deshpande Chaitanya Chandrakant, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. S. B. Narwade, APP for Respondent/State

Mr. Borulkar Avinash R., Advocate for informant

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2758 OF 2025

IN BA/999/2025

Hemlata Naresh Agrawal

VERSUS

Nilesh Kacharulal Agrawal And Another

...

Mr. Borulkar Avinash R., Advocate for Applicant

Mr. S. B. Narwade, APP for Respondent/State

Mr. Deshpande Chaitanya Chandrakant, Advocate for respondent No.1

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2759 OF 2025

IN BA/1000/2025

Chirayu Anup Agrawal

VERSUS

Nilesh Kacharulal Agrawal And Another

...

Mr. Borulkar Avinash R., Advocate for Applicant

Mr. S. B. Narwade, APP for Respondent/State

Mr. Deshpande Chaitanya Chandrakant, Advocate for respondent No.1

WITH

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1000 OF 2025

Nilesh Kacharulal Agrawal

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra

...

Mr. Deshpande Chaitanya Chandrakant, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. S. B. Narwade, APP for Respondent/State

Mr. Borulkar Avinash R., Advocate for informant

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 29.09.2025

PER COURT :-

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2758 OF 2025

IN BA/999/2025

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2759 OF 2025

IN BA/1000/2025

1. These are the applications for assist to prosecution.
2. The learned advocate for the applicants is permitted to assist the prosecution.
3. The applications are disposed of.

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 999 OF 2025

WITH

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1000 OF 2025

1. Heard learned advocate for the applicant and learned APP for the State.
2. When this Court expresses disinclination to allow the application, upon instructions, learned advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the applications.
3. Leave granted. The applications are disposed of as withdrawn.
4. It is submitted that the evidence of two witnesses has already been recorded. However, the opinion/report of the handwriting expert has not yet

been received. Considering the nature of the trial and the fact that the applicant has been in custody for about one year, it would be appropriate to direct the learned Trial Court to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of six months from today.

5. The handwriting expert is directed to submit the report. The Investigating Officer or the officer in charge of the concerned police station shall collect the said report from the handwriting expert within a period of 15 days from the date of this order, upon production of a copy of this order before the expert.

6. The handwriting expert is further directed to provide the report to the Investigating Officer. The Investigating Officer shall ensure that a copy of this order is communicated to the handwriting expert in advance and shall take all necessary steps to collect the report accordingly.

7. Parties to act upon authenticated copy of this order.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

HRJadhav