



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

21 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2189 OF 2024

SHAIKH NAEEM SHIAKH LAL

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. P.S. Agrawal – Advocate for Applicant

Mr. V.M. Chate – APP for Respondent No.1, State

Mr. S.R. Andhale – Advocate for Respondent No.2 (appointed)

...

CORAM : SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 10.11.2025

PER COURT :

1. Learned Counsel for respondent No.2 raises the issue of maintainability of the present application in view of the alternate remedy available in law. When confronted with same learned Counsel for applicant, fairly concedes with the same and seeks withdrawal of the present application with liberty to avail alternate remedy.
2. In view of the above, the Criminal Application stands disposed of as withdrawn, with liberty to the applicant as prayed for.
3. The time consumed before this Court shall be considered by the concerned Court.
4. Fees of the learned Counsel – Mr. S.R. Andhale, appointed to represent respondent No.2, be quantified as per the rules and paid by the High Court Legal Services Sub-Committee, Aurangabad.

[SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.]