



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1045 OF 2024

Aliya Anjum W/o Mohammad Gaus,
Age-44 years, Occu:Business and
Ex-Corporator, Parbhani Municipal
Corporation, R/o-Dargah Road,
Qurban Ali Shahnagar, Parbhani,
and another.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The Union of India,
Through its Secretary,
Ministry of Information and Broadcasting,
A-Wing, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi-01,
and others.

...RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Saeed S. Shaikh Advocate for Petitioners (Through Video Conferencing).

Mr. N.T. Tribhuwan, Standing Counsel for Respondent No.1.

Ms. P.R. Bharaswadkar, A.P.P. for Respondent Nos.3 to 9.

Ms. Sarita N. Salunke Advocate h/f. Mr. N.R. Salunke Advocate for Respondent Nos. 10 to 15.

...

**CORAM: SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 16th SEPTEMBER, 2025

ORDER [PER SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.] :

1. Heard learned Advocate Mr. Shaikh through Video

Conferencing. He submits that the petitioners had approached this Court to ban the proposed release of Hindi feature film/movie namely 'Hum Barah' (Hum Do Hamare Barah), which was scheduled on 7th June 2024 with consequential reliefs.

2. However, one Petition was filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court bearing Writ Petition (Civil) No.956 of 2020 (Firoz Iqbal Khan vs. Union of India and others) wherein, by order dated 15th September 2020, interim order has been granted and the said matter is in respect of the same feature film. Thus, the matter is before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

3. In view of the said fact that the matter is already before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the learned Advocate for the petitioners, upon instructions, seeks withdrawal of the Petitions. We allow him to withdraw the Petition with liberty to approach this Court if occasion arises in future.

4. The Writ Petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court if occasion arises in future.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE