



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.6462 OF 2025

Yogeeta Barkoo Harne

... **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & ors.

... **RESPONDENTS**

.....
Mr. S.B. Ghatol Patil, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. D.R. Korade, A.G.P. for State
Mr. Nikam, Advocate for R.No.4 and 5
.....

**CORAM : R.G. AVACHAT AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.**

DATE : 9th MAY, 2025

ORDER :

Not on board. Taken on board.

1. Heard.

2. Issue notice to the respondents. Learned A.G.P. waives service of notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3. Learned advocates Mr. Nikam waives service of notice for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent No.2 makes a statement that the petitioner would be allowed to fill in Online form, he would be permitted to appear for examination and their result would also be declared in due course.

4. Learned Advocate for respondent No.2 places on record a Form of revised TAIT examination form. After having gone through the draft Form, the petitioner is satisfied that her grievance stands redressed and as such, nothing survives in the petition.

5. The petition stands disposed of in view of the statement made by learned Advocates for respondent Nos.4 and 5 and revised examination form.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

(R.G. AVACHAT, J.)

fmp/-