



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 761 OF 2025

Shri Krushna Lahanu Goykar

... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Another

... Respondents.

.....

Mr. A. V. Patil, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. S. M. Ganachari, APP for Respondents.

.....

CORAM : ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J.

RESERVED ON : 19 AUGUST 2025

PRONOUNCED ON : 21 AUGUST 2025

ORDER :

1. This is an application under section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 preferred by the applicant for pre-arrest bail in connection with Crime No.204 of 2024 registered with Nijampur police station, Dist. Dhule for the offences punishable under section 310(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

2. Learned counsel for applicant submitted that, there are allegations of theft of copper wire of solar system. That, no specific role is attributed. That, there are allegation of mere holding sword and it is not put to use. That, general allegations are levelled against 10 persons without specifying role. That, applicant is ready to co-operate with the

investigation. Nothing is to be recovered from him and hence, protection by way of anticipatory bail is urged for.

3. Learned APP opposed by pointing out that there is theft of copper wire worth Rs.13,00,000/-. Accused persons committed above act coming armed with deadly weapon like sword. According to learned APP, recovery of wire is yet to be effected.

4. Perused the FIR dated 01.08.2024. Security guard of Tata Solar Power reported police that, he knows accused persons of Navagaon and he reported that, on the intervening night of 31.07.2024 and 01.08.2024, he spotted 10 to 12 persons committing theft of copper cable wire and when he rushed to catch them, it is alleged that, accused persons were found to be armed with axe, koyta, wire cutter, iron rod and those persons pelted stone and caught informant and his colleagues and thereafter fled. Therefore, he lodged report against in all 10 persons including present applicant. Statement is made across the bar by learned APP that recovery is yet to be effected. Considering the above allegations, this court is not inclined to grant relief as prayed.

5. The anticipatory bail application is rejected.

(ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J.)