



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14387 OF 2018  
IN FAST/16446/2018**

Dattatray Vithal Pingale

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Anr

...

Mr. V. G. Sakolkar And Mr. H. B. Nandagawale,  
Advocate for Applicant

Mr. D. J. Patil, AGP for Respondent-State

Ms. S. M. Zaware, Advocate for Respondent No.2

...

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14199 OF 2018  
IN FAST/14433/2018

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14201 OF 2018  
IN FAST/14441/2018

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14200 OF 2018  
IN FAST/14445/2018

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14202 OF 2018  
IN FAST/14450/2018

**AND**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2019  
IN FAST/13941/2018**

Dhondiram Nivrutti Mortale

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Anr

...

Mr. V. G. Sakolkar And Mr. H. B. Nandagawale,  
Advocate for Applicant

Mr. R. B. Dhaware, AGP for Respondent-State

Mr. S. C. Arora, Advocate for Respondent No.2

...

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 89 OF 2019  
IN FAST/14164/2018

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 90 OF 2019  
IN FAST/14169/2018

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 88 OF 2019  
IN FAST/14173/2018

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 91 OF 2019  
IN FAST/14177/2018

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 92 OF 2019  
IN FAST/16448/2018

**AND**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7580 OF 2019  
IN FAST/13953/2018**

Kondabai Dasu Suryawanshi

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Anr

...

Mr. V. G. Sakolkar And Mr. H. B. Nandagawale,  
Advocate for Applicant

Mr. R. B. Dhaware, AGP for Respondent-State

Mr. S. A. Mulla h/f Mr. R. K. Ingole, Advocate for  
Respondent No.2

...

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7582 OF 2019  
IN FAST/14183/2018

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7581 OF 2019  
IN FAST/14186/2018

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7584 OF 2019  
IN FAST/14192/2018

WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7583 OF 2019  
IN FAST/14195/2018

.....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.**

**Dated : 10<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2025**

**PER COURT :-**

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The applicants in all these applications are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned therein. The delay appears to be caused due to their poor financial condition. The learned counsel for the respondent Acquiring Body and the learned AGP strongly opposed the application on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.
3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the respective delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest and statutory benefits for the aforesaid periods of delay.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
5. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

**( SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J. )**

*Rushikesh/2025*