



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

902 WRIT PETITION NO. 5856 OF 2025

**NILESH AABA JAGTAP AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. E.S. Murge, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. S.K. Tambe, A.G.P. for respondents/State authorities.
Mr.A.R. Nikam, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

AND

903 WRIT PETITION NO. 5860 OF 2025

**SUSANNA BHARAT VALVI AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. E.S. Murge, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. P.S. Patil, A.G.P. for respondents/State authorities.
Mr.A.R. Nikam, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

AND

904 WRIT PETITION NO. 5947 OF 2025

**DIPAK ROHIDAS KOKANI AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. V.T. Patil, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. A.S. Shinde, A.G.P. for respondents/State authorities.
Mr.A.R. Nikam, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

AND

907 WRIT PETITION NO. 6032 OF 2025

NILESH NANA NAVARE

VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Balaji Shinde, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, A.G.P. for respondents/State authorities.
Mr.A.R. Nikam, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

AND
908 WRIT PETITION NO. 6071 OF 2025

YOGESH SUPADU NIKWADE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

...

Mr. E.S. Murge, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. R.R. Tandale, A.G.P. for respondents/State authorities.
Mr.A.R. Nikam, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

AND
909 WRIT PETITION NO. 6080 OF 2025

PRADNYA SADA AHIRE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. V.T. Patil, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. P.S. Patil, A.G.P. for respondents/State authorities.
Mr.A.R. Nikam, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 6081 OF 2025
NILIMA BABURAO MAHALE AND OTHERS
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. R.I. Wakade, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. S.K. Tambe, A.G.P. for respondents/State authorities.
Mr.A.R. Nikam, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

**CORAM : R.G. AVACHAT AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.**

DATED : 8 MAY 2025

P.C. :

1. Heard.
2. Issue notice to the respondents. Learned A.G.P. waives service of notice for respondent Nos.1 and 3. Learned advocates Mr. Nikam waives service of notice for respondent No.2.
3. Learned Advocate for respondent No.2 makes a statement that all the petitioners would be allowed to fill in on-line form, they would be permitted to appear for examination and their result would also be declared in due course.
4. Learned Advocate for respondent No.2 places on record a Form of revised TAIT examination form. After having gone through the draft Form, the petitioners are satisfied that their grievance stands redressed and as such, nothing survives in the petitions.
5. The petitions stand disposed of in view of the statement made by learned advocates for respondent No.2 and revised examination form.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

(R.G. AVACHAT,J.)