



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1833 OF 2024
IN APEALST/4911/2024
WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL (STAMP) NO.4911 OF 2024

SUDAM KUNDALIK MORE
VERSUS
URMILA W/O BHAUSAHEB KADU AND OTHER

...
Shri Thorat Nanabhau R., Advocate for the Applicant/ appellant.
Shri A.L. Kanade, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.
...

CORAM : SUSHIL M. GHODESWAR, J.

DATE : 04 December 2025

P. C. :-

1. Heard the learned advocates for the parties on the application for condonation of delay. Perused the record.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, Criminal Application No.1833/2024 is allowed and the delay in filing the appeal is condoned.
3. By consent of the parties, the Criminal Appeal is taken up for hearing.
4. The appellant challenges the order dated 26.06.2020 passed by the learned Special Judge, Majalgaon, District Beed,

below exhibit-1 in Criminal Misc. Application No.14/2020. Vide the impugned order, though the learned Special Judge has rejected the appellant's request to adopt recourse of Section 156(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, however, has kept the proceedings for further hearing of process as provided under Section 200 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

5. Today, the learned advocate for the appellant submits that the purpose of the instant Writ Petition would be served if the proceedings before the learned Special Judge are expedited and the learned Judge is directed to proceed in accordance with Section 200.

6. The request of the learned advocate for the appellant is accepted. The instant Criminal Appeal is disposed of with direction to the learned Special Judge to proceed in accordance with Section 200 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and complete the trial within a period of one year. The Criminal Appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.