



**IN THE JUDICATURE OF HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

970 WRIT PETITION NO. 735 OF 2019

Meerabai Shankar Hapse And Another

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Tarde Vivek V

AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. D. R. Korde

Advocate for Respondent No.6 : Mr. Dixit Sushant V.

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

Dated : August 26, 2025.

PER COURT :-

1. The learned Counsel for respondent No.6 points out the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani vs. State of Maharashtra, reported in (2016) 2 Supreme Court Cases 213***, particularly paragraph No.31. He submits that a second revision application is maintainable before the State Government against the order passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik Division, Nashik.

2. In view of the aforesaid submission made by the Counsel for Respondent No.6, the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been pursuing the present remedy since 2019, and that in the event he is required to file a second revision application, delay would be caused in filing such application. He, therefore, prays that this Court may either consider the writ petition on merits or, in the alternative, grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authority by filing a second revision application with a request for condonation of delay.

3. Considering the rival submissions, the present writ petition is disposed

of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the State Government by filing a second revision under Section 257 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, in terms of paragraph No.31 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court referred to above.

4. It is further directed that, in the event such revision application is filed, the time spent in pursuing the present petition shall be taken into account and excluded while considering the question of limitation, in view of Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

5. With these observations, the writ petition stands disposed of. The concerned authority shall decide the revision application, if filed, within a reasonable period of time.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

vj gawade/-.