



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4846 OF 2016

Amar Datta Pilangwad

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Vibhute Sunil M.

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. R.S. Wani

...

**CORAM : ALOK ARADHE, CJ. &
S.G. MEHARE, J.**

DATE : 21 MARCH 2025

P.C.:-

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AGP for the respondent-State.

2. The issue excepting the directions to respondent no.4 to decide the tribe claim becomes infructuous. Hence, we allow the writ petition in terms of prayer clause (G).

3. Learned AGP for respondent no.4 undertakes to decide this matter within four months.

4. With the above direction, we dispose of the writ petition.

5. No order as to costs.

[S. G. MEHARE, J.]

[CHIEF JUSTICE]