



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

945 WRIT PETITION NO. 7918 OF 2025

GANESH SAHAKARI SAKHAR KARKHANA LIMITED THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY

VERSUS

EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ORGANISATION AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. Sushant V. Dixit – Advocate for Petitioner

...

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 03.07.2025

PER COURT :

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioner, during the course of arguments submitted, on instructions, that the petitioner is not pressing for relief in terms of prayer clauses 'A', 'C' and 'D', in the light of statutory appeal available under Section 7(I) of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952.
2. Learned Counsel for petitioner submits that, with regard to waiver of damages an application is preferred by the petitioner before the Central Board of Trustees i.e. respondent No.2.
3. Respondent No.2 is directed to decide such application in accordance with the law. The petitioner is at liberty to pursue the same.
4. Writ Petition stands disposed of in above terms.

[Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]

[MANISH PITALE, J.]