



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

924 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 728 OF 2025

SACHIN RAJENDRA CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Dhananjay B. Thoke.
APP for Respondent / State : Mr. S. B. Narwade.
...

CORAM : **SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**

DATE : 01st October, 2025.

P.C.:

- 1 Heard the learned counsel for applicant and the learned APP.
- 2 When this Court expressed disinclination to grant any relief to the applicant, the learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the application.
- 3 Leave granted. The bail application stands disposed of as withdrawn.
- 4 The Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible, in any case within six months, as the applicant is behind bars for more than 2 years and 9 months. The Trial Court is directed to consider various judicial pronouncements of the Honourable Supreme Court and the High Courts as to the expeditious decision of such under

trial prisoners and their right of speedy trial. Therefore, the Trial Court is directed to decide the matter expeditiously. Needless to mention that it is a sessions trial and word “sessions” means once it is started it shall not be stopped until it is concluded.

5 The Trial Court shall also keep in mind the that recently the Honourable Supreme Court in the case of ***Central Bureau of Investigation Vs. Mir Usman alias Ara alias Mir Usman Ali, 2025 SCC OnLine SC 2066***, directed the Sessions Courts through out the country to conduct the trial without break.

6 The Trial Court to keep in mind that only because the trial is not concluded expeditiously, the applicant can be released on bail. Therefore, if the trial is not concluded within six months, the applicant may file an application for granting bail.

7 The Registrar (Judicial) of this Court to communicate this order to the concerned Court.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

nga