

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

944 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 645 OF 2025

Nawaj Ahesan Inamdar

....Applicant

VERSUS

The Superintendent of Police And Another

.....Respondents

.....

Shri. Manoj D. Shinde, Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. S. K. Shirse, APP for the Respondent – State.

.....

CORAM : NEERAJ P DHOTE, J.

Dated : SEPTEMBER 11, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Since the Applicant is apprehending arrest in CR No.0084/2025 registered with Shivaji Nagar Police Station, Dist. Latur dated 07.03.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 74, 75, 308(2), 115(2) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNS'), and Section 66 (C) of the Information Technology Act, 2000 (for short, 'I.T. Act'), he has filed this Application for Anticipatory Bail.

2. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant and the learned APP for the Respondents – State. Perused the papers on record.

3. The case of the Prosecution is that, the Informant and the Applicant came in contact through the social media and became friends. The Applicant demanded sexual favours and money from the Informant. On refusal by the Informant to accept the said demands of the Applicant, the Applicant threatened to viral the objectionable videos of the Informant on

the social media. Hence, the Informant lodged the Report.

4. According to the learned Advocate for the Applicant, there was previous complaint by the Applicant to the police on 05.03.2025 against the Informant that the Informant asked him to eliminate her husband. As the counter blast, the aforesaid crime came to be registered. The Informant is major by age.

5. According to the learned APP, the police papers show that the Applicant had made viral objectionable photos and videos of the Informant and therefore, he has committed the offence under the I. T. Act.

6. The evidence on record shows that there is strong possibility that the Applicant and the Informant were very close to each other. There is *prima facie* case of consensual interaction. The Applicant is protected by the interim order dated 06.05.2025 on certain conditions. It is nobodies case that the Applicant had flouted any of the conditions.

7. Considering the nature of the offence, in my view, Interim Protection needs to be confirmed. Hence, the order.

ORDER

- i) The Interim Order dated 06.05.2025 is confirmed.
- ii) The Application stands disposed off.

(NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.)