



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

911 WRIT PETITION NO. 4918 OF 2025

IMRAN AHAMAD GULAB PATEL

VERSUS

**WAGHUR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL SAKEGAON AND
OTHERS**

...

Mr. Girish S. Rane, Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. P. D. Patil, AGP for Respondents-State

Mr. S. V. Dixit, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 & 6

....

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

DATE : 18.07.2025

PER COURT :-

1. The present writ petition takes exception to order dated 24.03.2025 passed by learned Presiding Officer, School Tribunal, Nashik in Appeal No.06 of 2014.

2. The petitioner was appointed as “Shikshan Sevak” with respondent No.4 i.e., Indira Gandhi Madhyamik Vidyalay at Sakegaon. His appointment is approved by Education Officer (Secondary).

3. On 01.01.2014, petitioner has been terminated from service. Aggrieved by illegal termination, petitioner preferred Appeal No.06 of 2014 before learned School Tribunal alleging otherwise termination and sought reinstatement along with consequential benefits. Learned Tribunal granted ex-parte interim relief directing reinstatement of petitioner. In pursuance of interim relief, petitioner continued in

service during pendency of Appeal. However, lastly, learned School Tribunal dismissed Appeal vide impugned order dated 24.03.2025.

4. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and 6 have filed affidavit-in-reply along with Resolution of management to withdraw termination of petitioner and continue his service. Affidavit-in-reply is signed and verified by Mr. Dilipsingh S/o Pratapsingh patil being Chairman of Waghur Shikshan Prasarak Mandal.

5. In view of affidavit-in-reply and Resolution of Managing Committee termination order has been withdrawn. Eventually, order of School Tribunal affirming termination would loses sanctity.

6. In result, present writ petition stands disposed of by setting aside impugned order dated 24.03.2025, passed by School Tribunal Nashik in Appeal No.06 of 2014. Net result of corollary of events above petitioner shall be deemed to be in continuous service and shall be entitled for consequential benefits in deference to withdrawal of termination order.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.]