



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 292 OF 2018
IN WP/4227/2016**

SHAMKUMAR MANOHAR KADAM AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. A. S. Jagtap, Advocate h/f Mr. Ravindra K. Shingnapure, Advocate
for the Petitioners
Mr. A. S. Shinde, AGP for Respondents/State
Mr. Deepak S. Manorkar, Advocate for Respondent No.4
Mr. Ajay G. Talhar, Advocate for Respondent No.1

...

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 10th OCTOBER, 2025

PER COURT :

1. Learned advocate for petitioners submits that due to passage of time nothing survives in the petition and the same is rendered infructuous.
2. Contempt petition is, therefore, disposed of as infructuous.

(VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, J.) (NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)