



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

29 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 623 OF 2025

Ravi Balaji Kamble
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Jadhav Satej S
APP for Respondents: Mr. S.B. Narwade

.....

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.
DATED : 15th SEPTEMBER, 2025.

PER COURT :-

1. After hearing learned advocate for the applicant and learned A.P.P. for the State, when this Court expressed disinclination to allow the application, learned advocate for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw this application.

2. Leave granted. The application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

3. Considering the nature of the case, it would be proper to direct the trial court to conclude the trial as early as possible and in any case within a period of three months from today, with following directions:-

- I. It is a sessions case and it has to be decided in its literal sense that Session means once it is started it shall not be stopped. The trial court therefore, to proceed with the trial as expeditiously as possible. It is clarified that if any other sessions case is expedited by the Hon'ble Supreme Court or by this court, the priority shall be given to those cases, if any, and thereafter, the Sessions case arising out of the present crime shall be taken up for disposal.
- II. For that purpose, the Trial Court is expected to keep the matter twice or thrice in a week and conclude the trial accordingly within three months from today.
- III. The Trial Court is further directed not to grant adjournments if it is prayed on behalf of either the prosecution or the defence unless there is extreme urgency or reasonable ground. If the accused are not produced, the Trial Court may call the jail authority and escort party and warn them by passing an order accordingly by giving one opportunity to them to produce the accused i.e. under trial prisoners continuously. If it is not followed then the Trial Court may proceed against these authorities for not following the order of the legal authority of public servant as per the applicable provisions of Chapter X of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 i.e. Chapter XIII of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. If the advocates for accused or the public prosecutor are not cooperating with the Court for conducting the trial as expeditiously as possible, the Trial Court may impose heavy costs on the concerned accused.
4. For ascertaining the compliance, list the matter on 08.12.2025.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)