



drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.389 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4277 OF 2023

Appasaheb Kakasaheb Patil

PETITIONER

VERSUS

Smt Abha Shukla and Others

RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. A. S. Hazari h/f Mr. A. B. Chalak, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S. R. Yadav Lonikar, AGP for Respondent - State
Mr. A. S. Bajaj, Advocate for Respondent No.3.
.....

[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, &
SMT. VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, J. J.]

DATE : 18th SEPTEMBER, 2025

ORDER :

1. Representation of the Petitioner is considered and his request is rejected by the Respondent, vide order dated 17th September, 2025. Copy of the order dated 17th September, 2025 is marked "X" for the purpose of identification.
2. Learned Advocate for the Petitioner tried to argue on merits of the said order. We cannot entertain said argument in contempt jurisdiction. If the Petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, the Petitioner may avail appropriate remedy available in law.

[SMT. VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV] [NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]
JUDGE JUDGE

drp/cp389-24.doc