



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

922 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 606 OF 2025

RAVINDRA @ RAVI RAJENDRA BANSODE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Mohit Rajendra Malpani

APP for Respondent/State: Mr. P. P. Dawalkar

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 29.07.2025

P.C. :

1] Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2] The applicant is seeking bail as he was arrested on 13.02.2025 in connection with Crime No.0139/2025, dated 12.02.2025, registered with Shirdi Police Station, District Ahilyanagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 110, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 with Section 142 of the Maharashtra Police Act, 1951.

3] It is stated that the applicant is on bail in another offence under Section 302 IPC, wherein the informant is the witness. It is stated that the the said crime was registered against the applicant on 19.11.2020 and that the applicant is on bail.

It is further stated that on 11.02.2025 at

around 10 p.m. the informant while going on his scooty the applicant has threatened the informant for giving evidence against him in the crime under Section 302 IPC and that he has threatened the informant not to give evidence against him otherwise he would finish him and accordingly offence is registered and the applicant is arrested on 13.02.2025 in the present crime. The investigations in the matter is complete and the charge-sheet is filed.

4] The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the earlier offence bearing Sessions Case No.71/2021 the informant had already given deposition in the year 2023 so also the mother of the informant had given deposition in the year 2024 and he submits that there cannot be any threat at the instance of the applicant as their evidence is already completed. He submits that the allegations are made only to get him behind bars. He further submits that it is alleged that the incident has happened in front of the government guest house however there is no CCTV footage available indicating the offence.

5] The learned APP on being asked has not been able to show any evidence or bring any medical evidence or CCTV footage or CDR connecting the applicant to the alleged offence. The learned APP submits that there are 8 antecedents against the applicant and also there is externment order against the applicant.

6] Prima facie there is no evidence of incident dated 11.02.2025 having taken place in front of the government guest house so also evidence of the informant and the mother informant in the earlier crime i.e. in Sessions Case No.71/2021 is already concluded.

7] Considering this aspect of the matter, I do not see that the present applicant would threaten the informant for not giving the evidence in the earlier crime. Considering these aspects of the matter, bail can be granted to the applicant.

8] In view of the above, the application is allowed in the following terms :

a] The applicant shall be released on bail in connection with Crime No.0139/2025, dated 12.02.2025, registered with Shirdi Police Station, District Ahilyanagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 110, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 with Section 142 of the Maharashtra Police Act, 1951, on furnishing PR bond of Rs.20,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

b] The applicant, upon being released on bail, shall not contact the informant, in any manner whatsoever, during the pendency of the trial.

c] The applicant shall co-operate with the trial Court and he shall attend each and every date, unless exempted by the trial Court.

d] The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution and he shall not influence the informant, witnesses and other persons concerned with the case.

e] The applicant, upon being released on bail, shall place on record of the trial Court the details of his Contact Number and residential address with updates in case of any change.

9] Needless to say, in case of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions, the bail granted to the applicant shall be liable to be cancelled.

10] It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present bail application. The concerned Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

11] The application stands disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE