



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4405 OF 2025

ANITA SUKHANAND BHOINWAD ALIAS ANITA SATISH TAKALKAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

- ...
- Mr. N. D. Kendre, Advocate h/f. Mr. Kudle Dhanaji Shrikantrao, Advocate for the Petitioner
 - Mr. A. V. Lavte, AGP for Respondents/State
- ...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE : 02.04.2025

PER COURT :

. Learned advocate for the petitioner at the outset, on instructions, submits that the petitioner does not intend to challenge the order of the District Collector, Latur, disqualifying her, as is claimed in prayer Clause 'B' and would be satisfied with the direction to the committee to decide her proposal at the earliest.

2. The learned AGP, on instructions, submits that the petitioner's proposal for validation of the tribe certificate has been pending vigilance enquiry along with the proposal of her brother.

3. The writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to submit an appropriate application to the committee, inviting its attention to the exigency for early decision of her tribe claim. If any such application is submitted, the committee shall consider it on its own merits and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

(Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)