



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4385 OF 2025

SHAIKH RAHIM MAHAMAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

- Mr. Ramrao Nirmal, Advocate h/f. Mr. Gangakhedkar Shailendra S., Advocate for the Petitioner
- Mr. S. R. Wakale, AGP for Respondents/State

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE : 02.04.2025

PER COURT :

. The petitioner, who was a caveator and still was heard being respondent No. 4 in writ petition No. 5674 of 2024 is circuitously seeking execution of the directions contained in paragraph No. 9 of the order dated 19.06.2024, whereby the District Collector – Dharashiv was supposed to consider clause No. 5.4 of the Government Resolution dated 01.12.2016 and was expected to issue appropriate orders to the Chief Officer for dealing with the pending complaints.

2. The writ petition is disposed of leaving the petitioner to seek execution of the order but not by resorting to a fresh petition under Article 226 of the Constitution.

(Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)