

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**951 CONT. PETITION NO. 78 OF 2023
IN WP/2168/2016**

Ramchandra Hari Shinde and Anr.

....Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

.....Respondents

.....

Ms. Sakshi Kale h/f Mr. Kale Ajeet B., Advocate for the Petitioners
Mrs. Uma S. Bhosale, AGP for Respondents - State

.....

**CORAM : R. G. AVACHAT &
NEERAJ P. DHOTE, JJ.**

DATE : 29.01.2025

PER COURT :

1. This Contempt Petition is filed for non compliance of order dated 30/01/2017 passed by this Court in group of Petitions one of which in Writ Petition No.2145/2016 by which following directions are issued :

“ Heard.

2. The office objections, if any, stand waived, at the request of learned counsel for the respective petitioners.

3. In this group of petitions, the petitioners have sought relief, directing the concerned Authority, mentioned in the petitions, to decide their applications/representations/proposals. This being the only prayer pressed into service before us, these petitions can be disposed of by directing the competent Authorities in the respective petitions to decide the petitioners’ applications/representations/proposals, on merits and in accordance with law, expeditiously and in any case within a period of twelve weeks from today. All contentions of all the parties are kept open.

4. With the above directions, all the Writ Petitions stand disposed of.”

2. It is not in dispute that, the Applications/Representations of the Petitioners have been considered and decided by the concerned answering Respondents. According the learned Advocate for the Petitioners, the same are not decided as per law.

3. This being the Contempt Petition, we cannot examine the legality of the said order. Nothing remains for consideration in the Contempt Petition and the same stands disposed of as the order is complied with.

[NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.]

[R.G. AVACHAT, J.]

Sameer/Jan-2025