



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8749 OF 2018

Vijay s/o Mahadeo Mirajkar

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Others

... RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. A.M. Gaikwad, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. R.K. Ingole, A.G.P. for Respondent No.1

Mr. A.K. Muley, Advocate for Respondent No.4

.....

CORAM: ALOK ARADHE, CJ. &
RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE: 19th MARCH, 2025.

P.C. :

1. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that, during the pendency of the Petition, the Petitioner has expired and subsequently the lease agreement is also executed. Therefore, the Writ Petition is rendered infructuous.

2. In view of the aforesaid submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)

FMPathan/-